

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

Special Department

Order

No. SPL/GDDCS/39/71

The Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to make the following postings and transfers with immediate effect:

1) Shri J. C. Almeida, Secretary (Revenue) is transferred as Secretary (Industries & Labour) vice Shri D. N. Barua, transferred to Nefa Administration vide Government of India's letter No. 15/6/71-DH(S) dated 3rd June, 1971.

2) Shri P. S. Bhatnagar, Collector and District Magistrate Goa is transferred as Secretary (Revenue) vice Shri J. C. Almeida.

3) Shri Jagdish Sagar, Director of Industries and Mines is appointed as Collector and District Magistrate Goa, vice Shri P. S. Bhatnagar.

In addition to his charge as Collector and District Magistrate Goa, Shri Jagdish Sagar shall continue to hold charge of the post of Director of Industries and Mines, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Sawant, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 5th July, 1971.

Order

No. SPL/GDDCS/39/71

On the recommendation of the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Shri Virendra Singh, IAS Officer in the Junior Time Scale, is appointed against the Goa, Daman and Diu Civil Service Grade II post of Director of Transport, with immediate effect and until further orders.

Shri A. S. Ingle, Assistant Director of Transport is relieved of the additional charge of the post of Director of Transport.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Sawant, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 14th July, 1971.

Order

No. SPL-PER-356

In consultation with the Union Public Service Commission, and the Accountant General, Maharashtra, the Administrator of the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri N. R. Kulkarni, Accounts Officer, Office of the Accountant General, Maharashtra, as Director of Accounts, Goa, on deputation, for a further period from 1-6-1971 to 31-3-1972 on the existing terms and conditions.

This issues in continuation of the Order of even number dated 23rd July, 1970, published in Official Gazette Series II, No. 18 dated 30th July, 1970.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Sawant, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 14th July, 1971.

Order

No. SPL-PER-386

Read: Order No. SPL-PER-386 dated 18-5-1971.

In consultation with the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, the Administrator of the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri R. R. Karnik, Director, Central Water and Power Commission (PW) to the post of Chief Electrical Engineer, Goa, Daman and Diu, on deputation for a further period till 31st March, 1972 on the existing terms and conditions.

The appointment of Shri Karnik to the post of Chief Electrical Engineer is covered under the Union Public Service Commission (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations, 1958 and approval of the Commission to this appointment is not required as he is holding the Class I post of Director (Pay scale Rs. 1300-60-1600-100-1800) in the C.W.P.C. (P.W.) in substantive permanent capacity.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Sawant, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 14th July, 1971.

Notification

No. SPL/GCS/39/71-Vol. II

In continuation of this Department's Notification No. SPL/GCS/39/67-Vol. II dated 16th October, 1970, published in Official Gazette Series II, No. 30 dated 22nd October, 1970 and in exercise of the powers conferred on him under the provisions of Fundamental Rule 56, the Administrator of the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to grant further extension of service to Shri Rajarama Hede, Grade I (Selection Grade) Officer of the Goa, Daman and Diu Civil Service holding the post of Additional Commissioner of Revenue and Taxes for a period of six months beyond 20th July, 1971 and till 20-1-1972.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Sawant, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 13th July, 1971.

Home Department 'A'

Notification

No. HD-14-19/71-A

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 12 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1898, as applicable to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints in District of Goa Shri Chaman Lal, Joint Mamlatdar in the Tiswadi Taluka Panaji as Magistrate of 3rd Class, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandari, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 12th July, 1971.

Notification

No. HD-14-19/71-A(1)

In supersession of Government Notification no. LD/4/8/ /N-105-69 dated 11-4-1969 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 12 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1898, as applicable to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints in the District of Daman Shri J. I. Antani, Mamlatdar and P. A. to Collector, Daman as Magistrate of First Class with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa
Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandari, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 13th July, 1971.

Notification

No. HD-14-19/71-A(III)

In supersession of Government Notification No. LD/4/8/ /68-70(1) and in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12(1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, as extended to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints Shri Jagdish Sagar, Collector Goa as Magistrate of 1st class with effect from the date on which he took over charge as Collector, Goa.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandari, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 13th July, 1971.

Notification

No. HD-14-19/71-A(III)

In supersession of Government Notification no. LD/4/8/ /68-70(2) and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, as extended to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints Shri Jagdish Sagar, Magistrate of the 1st Class, as District Magistrate in the District of Goa with effect from the date on which he took over charge as Collector, Goa.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandari, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 13th July, 1971.

Corrigendum

No. HD-14-19/71-A

In the Government Notification no. HD-14-19/71-A dated 23-6-1971, for the words «Shri V. G. Menezes» the words «V. J. Menezes» shall be substituted.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandari, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 15th July, 1971.

Revenue Department

Directorate of Civil Administration

Order

The following persons are appointed under the proposal of the Administrator of Salcete Comunidades, members of the Managing Committees of some of the Comunidades of Canacona Taluka for the current triennium 1971-74: —

Comunidade of Gaundongrem

President: Panduronga Apaji Naique Dessai.

Comunidade of Loliem-Polem

President: Rogunata Voicunta Porobo Shastri.

Comunidade of Poinguinim

Attorney: Vassudeva Vencatexa Folo Dessai.

Comunidade of Cola

Cashier: Putu Naraina Porobo Dessai.

P. S. Bhatnagar, Collector and DCA.

Panaji, 7th July, 1971.

Order

The following persons are appointed, under the proposal of the Administrator of Goa Comunidades, members of the Managing Committees of the Comunidades of Ponda for the current triennium 1971-74: —

Comunidade of Borim

Substitute of President: Roguvira Govinda Sinai Borcar.

Cashier: Mortoba Naraina Sinai Borcar.

Substitute: Sunanda Visnum Porobo Dessai.

Comunidade of Boma

Substitute of President: Xembu Sripada Sinai Amoncar.

Substitute of Cashier: Ananda Sinai Amoncar.

Substitute of Attorney: Prabacar Gungodora Sinai Amoncar.

P. S. Bhatnagar, Collector and DCA.

Panaji, 7th July, 1971.

Planning Department

Notification

No. F.1-2/68-69-NIC

Read: — Letter No. 29/18/70-SCT.IV dated 8th June 1970 from the Govt. of India Department of Social Welfare New Delhi.

In pursuance of the reference quoted above the Government of Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby pleased to constitute a Committee of following Officials and non-Official members to discuss the grievances of the backward Communities of the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu. The Committee will meet quarterly and will submit the Report to the Government.

- 1) The Collector of Goa — Chairman.
- 2) The Superintendent of Police — Member.
- 3) Shri S. M. Kamble (M. L. A.) — Member.
- 4) Shri N. B. Rao, Mapusa — Member.
- 5) Dy. Director Social Welfare — Member-Secretary.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

G. M. Sardessai, Under Secretary (Planning).

Panaji, 12th July, 1971.

Food and Civil Supplies Department

Order

No. FCS/PWD/2005/71

Sanction is hereby accorded to the deputation of Shri M. R. Pise, Assistant Engineer, P.W.D., to the Fourth International Refresher Course in Highway Engineering for Junior Highway Engineers from ECAFE Region Countries at Central Road Research Institute, New Delhi — 20, commencing from 16-8-71 to 13-11-1971.

This issues with the concurrence of Finance Department vide their U.O. No. Fin(E)/2970/71 dated 30-6-1971.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

G. M. Sardessai, Under Secretary (Planning).

Panaji, 16th July, 1971.

Public Works Department

Principal Engineer's Office

Notification

PWD/LA/1258/39/71

Whereas it appears to the Appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the «said land»), is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. construction of Poinguinim-Galgibaga Talpona Road at Canacona.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act»), that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector, appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of

the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Government Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Dy. Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act, in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji.
2. The Deputy Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao.
3. The Superintending Engineer, Circle II, P.W.D., Panaji.
4. The Executive Engineer, Works Division VI, P.W.D., Margao.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Deputy Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Government Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Canacona	Poinguinim	1	—	Shri Laxmi Narain Temple. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Laxmi Narain Temple. South: Shri Laxmi Narain Temple. East: P. W. D. road Canacona to Sadashivgad. West: Shri Kantu Phal and Shri Anant Laximan Prabhu.	76.00
— do —	— do —	2	—	Shri Kantu Phal. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road. South: Shri Kantu Phal. East: Shri Laxmi Narain Temple. West: Shri Padmanath Govind Bhat.	111.50
— do —	— do —	3	—	Shri Anant Laximan Prabhu. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Anant Laximan Prabhu. South: Govt. road. East: Shri Laxmi Narain Temple. West: Shri Paskin Barreto.	11.00
— do —	— do —	4	—	Shri Paskin Barreto. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Paskin Barreto. South: Govt. road Poinguinim Galgibaga. East: Shri Anant Laximan Prabhu. West: Shri Bagu Poinguincar.	8.00
— do —	— do —	5	—	Shri Padmanath Govind Bhat. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road Poinguinim Galgibag. South: Shri Padmanath Govind Bhat. East: Shri Kantu Phal. West: Shri Haribhat Kare.	87.50
— do —	— do —	6	—	Shri Daggu Poinguincar. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Daggu Poinguincar. South: Govt. road Poinguinim Galgibaga. East: Shri Paskin Barreto. West: Shri Kattiwala.	18.00

1	2	3	4	5	6
Canacona Poinguimim		7	—	Shri Kattiwala.	24.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Shri Kattiwala.	
				South: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				East: Shri Dagu Poinguincar.	
				West: Shri Pandurang Poinguincar.	
— do —	— do —	8	—	Shri Haribhat Karo.	25.50
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				South: Shri Haribhat Karo.	
				East: Padmanath Govind Bhat.	
				West: Shri Bhiku Vaman Poi.	
— do —	— do —	9	—	Shri Pandurang Poinguincar.	32.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Shri Pandurang Poinguincar.	
				South: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				East: Shri Kattiwala.	
				West: Shri Swami Partgalnath.	
— do —	— do —	10	—	Shri Bhiku Vaman Poi.	71.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				South: Shri Bhiku Vaman Poi.	
				East: Shri Haribhat Karo.	
				West: Shri Sadanand Prabhu.	
— do —	— do —	11	—	Shri Swami Portagal.	34.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Shri Swami Partgal.	
				South: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				East: Shri Pandurang Poinguincar.	
				West: Shri Sharad Manjunath Poinguincar.	
— do —	— do —	12	—	Shri Sharad Manjunath Poinguincar.	30.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Shri Sharad Manjunath Poinguincar.	
				South: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				East: Shri Swami Partgal Nath.	
				West: Shri Govind Padmanathbhat.	
— do —	— do —	13	—	Shri Sadanand Prabhu.	8.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				South: Shri Sadanand Prabhu.	
				East: Shri Bhiku Vaman Poi.	
				West: Shri Swami Portgal Nath.	
— do —	— do —	14	—	Shri Swami Portgal Nath.	55.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				South: Shri Swami Partgal Nath.	
				East: Shri Sadanand Prabhu.	
				West: Shri Venkatesh Ramachandra Phal.	
— do —	— do —	15	—	Shri Govind Padmanabh Bhat.	32.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Shri Govind Padmanabh Bhat.	
				South: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				East: Shri Sharad Manjunath Poinguincar.	
				West: Shri Manjunathrai Poi.	
— do —	— do —	16	—	Shri Manjunath Rai-Poi.	70.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Shri Manjunath Rai Poi.	
				South: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				East: Govind Padmanath Bhat.	
				West: Shri Umesh Laxman Agrasani.	
— do —	— do —	17	—	Shri Venkatesh Ramchandra Phal.	26.00
				<i>Boundaries:</i>	
				North: Govt. road to Galgibaga.	
				South: Shri Venkatesh Ramachandra Phal.	
				East: Shri Swami Partgalnath.	
				West: Shri Vithal Prabhu Agrasani.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
Canacona	Poinguinim	18	—	Shri Vithal Prabhu Agrasani. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road to Galgibaga. South: Shri Vithal Prabhu Agrasani. East: Shri Ramachandra Venkatesh Phal. West: Shri Betaldeo Poinguinim.	20.00
— do —	— do —	19	—	Shri Umesh Laxman Agrasani. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Umesh Laxman Agrasani. South: Govt. road to Galgibaga. East: Shri Manjunath Rai Poi. West: Shri Parashuram Temple Poinguinim.	87.00
— do —	— do —	20	—	Shri Betal Deo, Poinguinim. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road to Galgibaga. South: Shri Betal Deo Poinguinim. East: Shri Vithal Prabhu Agrasani. West: Shri Vishnu Pandurang Phal.	93.00
— do —	— do —	69	—	Shri Vishwanath Ramchandra Prabhu. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Vishwanth R. Prabhu. South: Shri Vishwanth R. Prabhu. East: Shri Swami Partgalnath. West: Shri Agnel Barreto.	160.00
— do —	— do —	72	—	Shri Narain Madhav Prabhu Dessai. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Narain M. Prabhu Dessai. South: Govt. road to Talpona. East: Shri Yeshwant Prabhu Gaunkar. West: Shri Devendra Parab.	572.00
— do —	— do —	73	—	Shri Devendra Parab. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Devendra Parab. South: Govt. road to Talpona. East: Shri Narain Madhav Prabhu Gaunkar. West: Shri Madhav Sadashiva Temgorahe Bhat.	332.50
— do —	— do —	74	—	Shri Madhav Sadashiva Tangorehe Bhat. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Mahadev Sadashiva Tangorahe Bhat. South: Shri Mahadev S. Tengorshe Bhat. East: Shri Devendra Parab. West: Shri Agnel Barreto.	388.00
— do —	— do —	101	—	Shri Antonio Francis Dias. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road to Talpona. South: Shri Antonio Francis Dias. East: Pandhari Purushottam Phal. West: Smt. Maria Cardoso.	87.87
— do —	— do —	103	—	Shri Morris Rodrigues. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road to Talpona. South: Shri Morris Rodrigues. East: Smt. Maria Cardoso. West: Govt. road.	154.37
— do —	— do —	104	—	Shri Gajanan Shinai Borcar. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Govt. road to Talpona. South: Shri Gajanan Shinai Borcar. East: Government land. West: Shri Gajanan Shinai Borcar.	69.00
Total					2713.24

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balcrisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, PWD and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Govt.

Panaji, 7th July, 1971.

Notification

No. PWD/LA/1258/40/71

Whereas it appears to the Appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the «said land»), is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Construction of road from Kandepar to Vagurmen.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act»), that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector, appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Government Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act, in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji.
2. The Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji.
3. The Superintending Engineer, I, Circle II, PWD., Panaji.
4. The Executive Engineer, Works Division II, P. W. D., Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Land Acquisition Officer, Collector's Office, Panaji, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Government Gazette.

SCHEDULE

Description of the said land

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Khandepar	1	—	Shri Ramakant Keshav Kandeparkar.	353.50
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Raghuvir Fatba Kandeparkar.					
South: Govt. Village road.					
East: Ramakant Keshav Kandeparkar.					
West: —do—					
—do—	—do—	2	—	Shri Raghuvir Fatba Kandeparkar.	1649.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Datta Kandeparkar & T. Kashinat Kandeparkar.					
South: Ramakant Keshav Kandeparkar.					
East: Raghuvir Fatba Kandeparkar.					
West: —do—					
—do—	—do—	3	—	Shri Datta Kandeparkar & Kashinath Kandeparkar.	2184.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Datta Kandeparkar & Kashinat Kandeparkar.					
South: Raghuvir Fatba Kandeparkar.					
East: Raghuvir Fatba Shenvi Kandeparkar.					
West: Datta Kandeparkar & Kashinat Kandeparkar.					
—do—	—do—	4	—	Shri Raghuvir Fatba Shenvi Kandeparkar.	333.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Raghuvir Fatba Shenvi Kandeparkar.					
South: —do—					
East: Tukaram Kandeparkar.					
West: Datta Kandeparkar and Kashinat Khandeparkar.					
—do—	—do—	5	—	Shri Tukaram Kandeparkar.	1038.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Tukaram Kandeparkar.					
South: —do—					
East: Dattaram Kandeparkar.					
West: Raghuvir Fatba Shenvi Kandeparkar.					
—do—	—do—	6	—	Shri Dattaram Kandeparkar.	610.80
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Dattaram Kandeparkar.					
South: —do—					
East: Shridev Shantadurga Sanasthan Kandeparkar.					
West: Tukaram Kandeparkar.					
—do—	—do—	7	—	Shri Shridev Shantadurga Sanasthan of Kandeparkar.	252.37
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Shridev Shantadurga Sanasthan Kandeparkar.					
South: —do—					
East: Pishan Bab Kandeparkar.					
West: Dattaram Kandeparkar.					

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Khandepar	8	—	Shri Pishanbab Kandeparkar.	1000.37
Boundaries:					
North: Pishanbab Kandeparkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Dattatrya Kandeparkar.					
West: Shridev Shantadurga Sanasthan Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	9	—	Shri Dattatrya Kandeparkar.	3302.94
Boundaries:					
North: Dattatrya Kandeparkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Ramakant Keshav.					
West: Pishanbab Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	10	—	Shri Ramakant Keshav.	626.75
Boundaries:					
North: Ramakant Keshav.					
South: — do —					
East: Datta Kandeparkar.					
West: Dattatray Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	11	—	Shri Datta Kandeparkar.	6798.48
Boundaries:					
North: Datta Kandeparkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Keshav Kandeparkar.					
West: Ramakant Keshav.					
— do —	— do —	12	—	Shri Keshav Kandeparkar.	4306.30
Boundaries:					
North: Keshav Kandeparkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Vassudev Borkar.					
West: Datta Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	13	—	Shri Vassudev Borkar.	2445.24
Boundaries:					
North: Vassudev Borkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Kashinat Kandeparkar & Raghuvir Kandeparkar.					
West: Keshav Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	14	—	Shri Kashinat Kandeparkar.	845.25
Boundaries:					
North: Kashinath Kandeparkar.					
South: Raghuvir Kandeparkar.					
East: Mohan Kandeparkar.					
West: Vassudev Borkar.					
— do —	— do —	15	—	Shri Raghuvir Kandeparkar.	655.50
Boundaries:					
North: Kashinath Kandeparkar.					
South: Raghuvir Kandeparkar.					
East: Datta Kandeparkar.					
West: Vassudev Borkar.					
— do —	— do —	16	—	Shri Mohan Kandeparkar.	112.00
Boundaries:					
North: Mohan Kandeparkar.					
South: Kashinath Khandeparkar.					
East: Datta Kandeparkar.					
West: Mohan Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	17	—	Shri Datta Kandeparkar.	1881.00
Boundaries:					
North: Datta Kandeparkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Bhukitari Bhatkar.					
West: Mohan Kandeparkar.					
— do —	— do —	18	—	Shri Bhukitari Bhatkar.	1927.62
Boundaries:					
North: Bhukitari Bhatkar.					
South: — do —					
East: Mohan Kandeparkar.					
West: Datta Kandeparkar.					

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Khandepar	19	—	Shri Mohan Kandeparkar. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Mohan Kandeparkar. South: — do — East: Prabhakar Singbal. West: Bhukitari Bhatkar.	5185.24
— do —	— do —	20	—	Shri Prabhakar Singbal. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Prabhakar Singbal. South: — do — East: Vagurmem Village property. West: Mohan Kandeparkar.	1020.00
— do —	— do —	21	—	Property of village Vagurnem. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Vagurmem Village Property. South: — do — East: Singbal & Comunidade. West: Prabhakar Singbal.	12618.11
— do —	— do —	22	—	Comunidade. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Singbal. South: Comunidade. East: Shambu Kenkare. West: Vagurmem Village property.	1033.50
— do —	— do —	23	—	Shri Singbal. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Singbal. South: Comunidade. East: Vagurmem Village property. West: — do —	1275.50
— do —	— do —	24	—	Shri Shambu Kenkare of St. Cruz. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Vagurmem Property. South: Shambu Kenkare. East: — do — West: Comunidade.	60.00
— do —	— do —	25	—	Vagurmem Village Property. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Vagurmem Village Property. South: — do — East: Vagurmem Village Property and Vinayak Kandeparkar. West: Singbal.	3354.00
— do —	— do —	26	—	Shri Vinayak Kandeparkar. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Village Vagurmem Property. South: — do — East: Vinayak Kandeparkar. West: Vagurmem Village Property.	147.50
— do —	— do —	27	—	Comunidade. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Comunidade. South: Gangaram Shenvi. East: Comunidade. West: Comunidade.	276.00
Total					55291.97

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balorisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, PWD and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Government.

Panaji, 13th July, 1971.

Development Department 'A'

Notification

No. CDB/COOP/81/71

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 157 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applicable to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby pleased to exempt the Goa Central Cooperative Consumers Stores Ltd., Panaji, from the application of Clauses (a) and (b) of Sub-Section 1 of Section 78 of the said Act, for a period of three years from 2-6-71.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

T. Kipgen, Development Commissioner.

Panaji, 16th July, 1971.

Addendum

No. CDB/15-2/70-71

Read: Government Order No. CDB/1028/68 dated 2-9-68 regarding constitution of Block Advisory Committee in Bicholim Block.

In the Government Order cited above, in para 3 read at Serial No. 15 the name Shri Raghunath Pundalik Gaonkar Sarpanch of Van-Maulinguem and Cudchirem Village (Group) Panchayat as the same has been transferred to the Bicholim Block as per Government Notification No. RD/LND/213/69-71/1 dated 15-2-71.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Abel do Rosario, Under Secretary (Development).

Panaji 14th July, 1971.

Labour and Information Department

Order

No. LC/1/ID(5)/70/IT-10/70

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu, on an Industrial Dispute between M/s. Indian Hume Pipe Co. Ltd., Old Goa, and the workmen employed by them, is hereby published as required vide provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947):—

Before Shri N. L. Abhyankar, Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu

Reference (IT-GDD) No. 12 of 1970

Between

M/s. Indian Hume Pipe Co. Ltd., Goa

v/s.

The workmen employed under them.

In the matter of pay scales, dearness allowance, leave facilities, etc.

Appearances:

Shri Soman for the Indian Hume Pipe Co.

Shri S. V. Rao, Vice President of the workmen's Union present.

AWARD

This is a reference under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act made by the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu for adjudication of a dispute between the Management of Messrs. Indian Hume Pipe Co. Ltd., Goa and the workmen employed under them which arises over the demand for pay scales, dearness allowance, leave facilities, medical facilities etc., made by the workmen.

2. The parties have arrived at a settlement and pray for an Award in terms thereof. I find the settlement is fair and pass an award in terms of the said settlement annexed hereto. The reference stands disposed of.

Sd/-.

N. L. ABHYANKAR

Industrial Tribunal

Bombay, 8-6-1971.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 12th July, 1971.

ANNEX. 'A'

Terms of settlement

Demand No. I:—The Management agrees to issue letter of confirmation to all those daily rated workmen who have put 240 days continuous service subject to work and conduct found satisfactory.

Demand No. II:—Pay-scales-both the parties hereby agree that the following wage-scales shall be made applicable to the daily rated workmen from 1-7-70.

Unskilled: 1.30/0.05/1.75

Semi-skilled: 1.60/0.10/2.60

Those workmen who are drawing less than the minimum shall be brought to the minimum of the revised grade and they will earn their next increment as on 1-7-71, 1-7-72 and so on.

In respect of other workmen they will continue to draw their annual increment in the revised grade on their due date.

Those workmen who have put in one year or more service but less than 2 years as on 1-7-70 shall be given one additional increment in the respective grade.

Those workmen who have put in 2 years or more as on 1-7-70 shall be given two additional increments in the respective grade.

The daily rated workmen shall be classified as follows:—

Semi-Skilled:—Reinforcer, Mason, Welder, Electrician, Head of the Assembling Gang, Moulder, Carpenter and Blacksmith.

Un-Skilled:—All other workmen who are not covered under semiskilled grade.

Demand No. III:—D. A.: It is hereby agreed between the parties that the daily rated workmen shall be paid Rs. 3.35 (Fixed) per day as D. A. from 1-7-1970.

Demand No. IV:—Leave facilities: It is hereby agreed that the daily rated workmen shall be given seven days casual leave per year subject to the following conditions:

- i) Casual leave cannot be accumulated nor can it be carried forward.
- ii) Casual leave is for special, emergent, sudden and unforeseen circumstances only.
- iii) Casual leave is not a matter of right and will depend on exigencies of work.
- iv) In case of permanent employees the Management may allow casual leave for more than earned leave but not more than 7 days in a year, but in case of the employment coming to an end before completion of the year this proportionate unearned leave will be adjusted towards his privilege leave, if due, at the time of final payment otherwise the employee shall refund payment received for the unearned leave.
- v) Casual leave shall not be tacked to any other leave.
- vi) Casual leave cannot be granted for more than 3 days at a time.
- vii) Casual leave shall not be suffixed, or affixed to Sunday or holiday without prior sanction.

It shall be in the discretion of the Management to allow suffixing and affixing of casual leave to Sunday

or Holiday. In view of this amicable settlement, the workmen withdrew demand for increase in quantum of privilege leave and sick leave.

Demand No. V:— Medical facilities, conversion of daily rate into monthly basis, payment for weekly-off days:

These demands are withdrawn by workmen in view of this amicable settlement.

Retrenchment of 3 workers and discharge of 8 workmen:—

The workmen accept the retrenchment of 3 workmen and discharge of 8 workmen for want of orders effected from 10th October 1970. The Management agrees to provide re-employment according to law as and when work increases or pipe manufacturing work restarts. In view of this amicable settlement, the workmen agree to give minimum 32 poles per day with a complement of 56 workmen.

During the operation of this settlement, the workmen agree not to raise any demand involving financial liability.

This settlement in terms noted above shall remain in force for a period ending 30th June 1972 and thereafter continue to be binding until it is terminated by giving 2 months notice as per provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

For & on behalf of
The Indian Hume Pipe Co. Ltd.,

Sd/-
SOMAN
Manager.

For & on behalf of workmen:

- 1) Sd/- Dattaram Zoideu Kudnecar
- 2) Sd/- Michal Fernandes
- 3) St/- Shankarappa Shidhappa
- 4) Sd/- Premanand Chodankar
- 5) Sd/- Gurupad Mahalingappa

Sd/-
Gerald Pereira,
President,
All Goa General Employees'
Union, (CITU)

Witness:

- 1) Sd/- V. G. Thakre
- 2) Sd/- Devakumar Martyn

True copy/Goa/5/5/71.

Order

No. LC/1/ID(69)/69/IT-7/70-71

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu, on an Industrial Dispute between M/s. Casa Filu, Margao (Goa) and the workmen employed by them, is hereby published as required vide provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947):—

Before Shri N. L. Abhyankar, Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu

Reference (IT-GDD) No. 11 of 1970

Between

M/s. Casa Filu, Margao, Goa

And

The workmen employed under them.

In the matter of the legality and propriety of the action taken by the management in terminating the services of four workmen and the relief if any the workmen are entitled to.

Appearances:

Shri Bharne, Advocate, for the workmen.
No appearance for the employer Casa Filu.

AWARD

This is a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act made by the Lieutenant Governor of Goa,

Daman and Diu referring the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

«Whether the action of M/s. Casa Filu, Margao, Goa, in terminating the services of four workmen namely Shri Constancio Dias, John A. Luis, Laurence Fernandes and Raghuvir B. Naik, is legal and justified; if not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?»

2. On receipt of the reference on 31-7-1970 notices were issued to the parties for filing their statement of claim and written statement. The case was taken up on 24-11-1970 at Panjim. On that date only one of the workmen concerned namely Shri Constancio Dias was present. No appearance was put in for Casa Filu which is the trade name of the proprietor Shri Filu Anthony Silva Dias. The employer had not filed any written statement by that date. A fresh date was given and the next date of hearing was 18th March 1971 at Panjim. On that date also the employer Shri Filu Anthony Silva Dias was absent. The reference therefore proceeded ex parte. In the meanwhile the workmen concerned had filed their statement of claim. In the absence of any written statement the evidence of the 4 workmen has been recorded. As a precaution a summons was ordered to be issued to Shri Filu Anthony Silva Dias but even today (4th May 1971) the proprietor is absent and the reference has to be decided ex parte against the proprietor.

2. In addition to the joint statement filed on 28th August 1970, three of the workmen namely John A. Luis, Laurence Fernandes and Constancio Dias have also filed individual statements of claim. It would be convenient to deal with the claim of each of these workmen separately.

3. According to John Anthony Luis he was in the employment of the proprietor since April 1965 as the godownkeeper-cum-clerk on a consolidated salary of Rs. 200/- per month. In the month of June 1969 Shri John was told verbally by the employer that his services were not required from 1st July 1969. John was not given any notice of termination of his service or retrenchment and he therefore claims that his services were illegally terminated from 1st July, 1969.

4. Shri Laurence Fernandes claims that he was appointed in service by the employer from 1st May 1957 as an accounts-cum-general clerk on a consolidated salary of Rs. 200/- per month. On 19th August 1969 Shri Laurence was told by the employer that his services were not required from 1st September 1969 but on 31st August 1969 he was again asked to work till 13th September 1969 after which date he was asked not to come for work. His grievance is that he was not given any notice of termination or retrenched.

5. The third claim is that of Shri Constancio Dias. He was appointed on 1st October 1962 as a salesman-cum-general clerk on a consolidated salary of Rs. 110/- per month. On 19th August 1969 he was verbally told by the employer that his services would not be required from 1st September 1969. He also did not receive any notice of termination of service or retrenchment.

6. The fourth claim is that of Raghuvir B. Naik. He was in service from 1-1-1951 as an ordinary servant on a salary of Rs. 110/- per month. His services were terminated on 1-12-1969. He has claimed notice pay, leave pay and compensation that is retrenchment compensation.

7. Each of these workmen have also examined themselves and in their deposition they have substantially corroborated the claim made in their statement of claim. The main facts which have come in evidence are that the employer is even now engaged in business of import and export of foreign goods such as cigarettes, cosmetics and liquors, that the employer also supplies to foreign ships bonded goods. Shri John Anthony Luis claims that he was not paid his salary for 10 months next prior to his discharge which took place on 1st July 1969. He has however admitted that he received Rs. 300/- towards arrears of his wages. In spite of a demand for the arrears of his wages they have not been paid by the employer.

8. Shri Raghuvir B. Naik has stated in evidence that he was not paid salary for 2 months that is for October and November 1969 when he was discharged from service from 1st December 1969.

9. According to Shri Lawrence Fernandes the employer had told him that he was not able to pay his salary and that is why he should seek service elsewhere. This he was told on 19th August 1969 and that his services will not be requi-

red from 1st September 1969. Shri Lawrence claims that he has been paid his salary till the end of August 1969 but he has not been paid for the 13 days of September for which he had worked. He has also said that when he went to collect his wages for 13 days the employer told him that he was not able to pay him and that is why he has not been continued in service.

10. The fourth witness is Shri Constancio Dias. He also supports the version of the previous witnesses that the employer had told him that he should find another job because he could not afford the salary and keep them and that is why his services were terminated with effect from 1st September 1969. This witness has also admitted that the employer had lost the agency of the Imperial Tobacco Co. which brought good profits for about 4 years since he ceased to be in employment. With the termination of this agency the employer ceased to deal in cigarettes. The witness has also admitted that the wine and liquor business of the employer had decreased when his services were terminated. The witness was not able to give any idea of the turn-over of the business of the employer though he vaguely estimated that the sales of wine were from Rs. 50,000 to Rs. 60,000 per year when he was in employment.

11. It is obvious from the material on the record that the services of each of these workmen have been terminated mainly on the ground that the employer could not retain them usefully in service. Even so the employer was bound by the provisions of the statute and in particular Sec. 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act. If there is no work for the workmen the employer is not bound to continue them in service. In such a case the employer is entitled to retrench the workmen but before the services of any workman are terminated on account of the need for retrenchment a certain procedure is required to be followed and obligations

fulfilled. Two of the conditions are that the workmen be given one month's notice in writing or be paid one month's wages in lieu of such notice and what is more important is that the workmen should be paid at the time of retrenchment compensation equivalent to 15 days average pay for every continuous year of service. What is average pay is defined in the case of the monthly rated workmen. As all these workmen were monthly rated, under Sec. 2(aaa) of the Industrial Disputes Act average pay in their case means the average of the wages payable to them in the three calendar months preceding the date on which the average pay becomes payable. Now the average pay in all these four cases became payable on the day the workmen were retrenched because the termination of service of each of these workmen is more analogous to retrenchment rather than any other type of termination.

12. Ordinarily in the case of workmen whose services are terminated by way of retrenchment but in whose case the conditions precedent for such retrenchment have not been followed they will be treated as if their services have not been legally terminated but in this case in view of the admissions on record through the testimony of each of the workmen themselves it would be harsh and improper to impose the services of any of these workmen on the employer if their reinstatement were to be ordered. I am therefore not inclined to order reinstatement in the case of these workmen, instead some compensation for the loss of employment would be the more appropriate relief in this case.

13. Assuming that the workmen were retrenched on the respective dates on which their services were terminated the workmen would be found entitled to the following amounts: (1) One month's pay in lieu of notice, (2) retrenchment compensation and (3) arrears of salary which has not been paid to them. These are represented in the following table:—

Serial No.	Name of employee	Date of joining service	Date of Termination	Period of service	Average monthly pay on date of termination	Arrears of salary	Retrenchment compensation	Notice Pay
				years months				
1.	John A. Luis	1-4-65	1-7-69	4 3	Rs. 200	Rs. 1,700	Rs. 400	Rs. 200
2.	Raghuvir B. Naik	1-1-51	1-12-69	18 11	Rs. 110	Rs. 220	Rs. 1045	Rs. 110
3.	Lawrence Fernandes	1-5-57	13-9-69	12 4	Rs. 200	Rs. 86-60	Rs. 1200	Rs. 200
4.	Constancio Dias	1-10-62	1-9-69	6 11	Rs. 110	nil	Rs. 385	Rs. 110

14. There is no evidence on record in the case of two of the workmen that is John Anthony Luis and Raghuvir B. Naik whether or not they have been able to secure employment since the termination of their service. Shri Lawrence Fernandes has stated that he was able to get only a part-time job for which he got about Rs. 100/- per month and that he had tried for a regular job but has not been able to get one.

15. As regards Shri Constancio Dias he is a person of pretty advanced years. He has given his age as 57 years. He stated that he tried to get a job but could not find one.

16. As I am not inclined to order reinstatement mainly because of the apparent inability of the previous employer to

continue any of these employees in his employment due to dwindling of his business each of the workmen however will be entitled to some compensation for loss of employment and in this case in addition to what is due to them by way of notice pay, arrears of wages and retrenchment compensation, 3 months wages should be considered adequate as compensation for loss of employment. Accordingly I would add 3 months average wages drawn by each of the employees to the total amount payable by the employer.

17. Accordingly I direct Filu Anthony Silva Dias the previous employer of these four workmen to pay to them the following amounts within a period of one month from the date of the publication of this award:

(1) Shri John A. Luis	Rs. 2,300	plus	Rs. 600 = Rs. 2,900
(2) Shri Raghuvir B. Naik	Rs. 1,375	plus	Rs. 330 = Rs. 1,705
(3) Shri Lawrence Fernandes	Rs. 1,486-60	plus	Rs. 600 = Rs. 2,086-60
(4) Shri Constancio Dias	Rs. 495	plus	Rs. 330 = Rs. 825
Total Rupees: 7,516-60			

Sd/-

N. L. ABHYANKAR
Industrial Tribunal

Bombay, 8th June, 1971.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 13th July, 1971.

Order

No. 3/6/71-LAB

Read: Notification No. SPL-EST-63-I dated 2-6-71.

Shri S. R. Arya, Commissioner, Labour & Employment is hereby appointed as State Manpower Officer for the Union Territory of Goa, Daman & Diu. He shall be in overall charge of the Manpower work under the Supervision of the Secretary Industries & Labour in addition to his own duties.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Information & Tourism).

Panaji, 9th July, 1971.

Order

No. LC-1282/66/3353/71

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, on an Industrial Dispute between M/s. Jose Francisco dos Santos, Margao (Goa), and the workmen employed by them, is hereby published as required vide provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947):—

Before Shri N. L. Abhyankar, Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu

Complaint (IT-GDD) No.	In Re.: (IT-GDD) No.
6 of 1967	1/67
7 of 1967	1/67

Complainant	Respondent
Shri Joaquim Manuel Fernandez	Mr. J. F. Santos Garage
Shri Jacinto Deodato Fernandez	Mr. J. F. Santos Garage.

In the matter of complaints under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Appearances:

Complainants Shri Joaquim Manuel Fernandez and Shri Jacinto Deodato Fernandez in person.
Shri Bharne, Advocate, for the respondent.

AWARD

These two complaints one by Shri J. M. Fernandez and the other by Shri J. D. Fernandez have been filed under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947. Shri J. D. Fernandez has made a grievance that his services have been terminated by the opponent from 18th October, 1967 on the ground that his services were no longer required. Shri J. M. Fernandez has made a grievance that when he reported for work on 24-11-1967 the clerk in charge of the factory of the opponent told him that his services were not required. In other words both these complaints are in respect of alleged termination of their services of the respective complainants by the respondents. The respondents have filed a statement in defence. The defence of the respondents is that the complaint in each case is misconceived and bad in law and are not maintainable under Sec. 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

2. The complainants apparently have filed these complaints on the basis of Reference (IT-GDD) No. 1 of 1967 pending before the Tribunal. That reference is in respect of a dispute raised about the termination of the services of one Vaikunt Pavaskar by the Union on 15-12-1966. The objection of the respondents is that neither of these complainants can be said to be aggrieved by any contravention of the provisions of Section 33 of the Act because of the pendency

of Ref.: (IT-GDD) No. 1 of 1967. It is contended that neither under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) of Section 33 can it be said that the respondent has altered to the prejudice of the workmen concerned in the dispute any matter connected with the dispute. As neither of these complainants are workmen concerned in the dispute any action alleged to be taken against them cannot also be the subject matter of a complaint under Section 33A read with Section 33(2); the dispute referred being the dispute in respect of the termination of services of a single employee named Vaikunt Pavaskar neither of the complainants can be said to be concerned with the dispute. It is also pointed out that there is no averment in either of these complaints that the complainant is a member of the Union which raised the dispute in respect of termination of services of Shri Pavaskar.

3. In my opinion the contention raised by the respondents is well founded. The pendency of Ref.: (IT-GDD) No. 1 of 1967 which is solely concerned with the dispute regarding the validity or otherwise of the termination of services of one employee on 15-12-1966 cannot possibly be related to the service conditions of any of the complainants. The action alleged to have been taken against Shri Pavaskar which is the subject matter of Re.: (IT-GDD) No. 1 of 1967 is an action against an individual employee and it is not contended that that action was as a result of any trade union activities of Shri Pavaskar with a view to punish him for such activities. In fact the contention of the respondents in the reference is that Shri Pavaskar was found idling away his time and was therefore asked to go home. Whatever be the merits of the contention in the reference it is obvious that neither of the complainants can claim they are workmen concerned in that dispute. As such whatever other relief or action may be open to the complainants to challenge the termination of their services I do not think that a complaint under Section 33A is the appropriate remedy available to either of these two complainants. The complainants can raise their own industrial dispute and have a reference made about it under Section 2A of the Industrial Disputes Act if so advised. In this view of the matter I do not see how either of these complaints are tenable. The complaints are accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-

N. L. ABHYANKAR
Industrial Tribunal

Bombay 8-6-1971.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 19th July, 1971.

Notification

No. LC/6/71/46

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Indian Boilers Act, 1923 (5 of 1923), the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints Shri C. V. Dhume, Factory Inspector, to be an Inspector for the purposes of the said 1923 Act and directs that he shall exercise all the powers and perform all the duties conferred and imposed on him under the said 1923 Act in the Union territory of Goa, Daman and Diu with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

J. C. Almeida, Secretary (Industries and Labour).

Panaji, 9th July, 1971.